John Meyer, MT Bar # 11206 Cottonwood Environmental Law Center P.O. Box 412 Bozeman, MT 59771 (406) 546-0149 | Phone John@cottonwoodlaw.org

Counsel for Plaintiff

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

COTTONWOOD ENVIRONMENTAL )	
LAW CENTER,	2:18-cv-00012-SEH
)	)
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
RYAN ZINKE, in his official capacity as	FOR DECLARATORY AND
Secretary of the Interior; STEVE BULLOCK,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
in his official capacity as Governor of the State	
of Montana; DAN WENK, in his official	
capacity as Park Superintendent, Yellowstone National Park; LEANNE MARTEN, in her	
official capacity as Regional Forester, U.S.	
Forest Service; NATIONAL PARK SERVICE;	
U.S. FOREST SERVICE; USDA-ANIMAL &	
PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE	
	1
Defendants.	

## **INTRODUCTION**

- More than 30 million bison roamed across the United States before European settlers arrived.
- 2. Native Americans relied on bison for their livelihoods.
- 3. Bison were integrally linked with the economic and spiritual lives of Native Americans through trade and sacred ceremonies.
- 4. Native Americans lived on the Western landscape based on a set of values ensuring the bison's survival by never taking more than was needed, never wasting what was taken, and making sure there was always abundance for future generations.
- 5. White settlers killed the bison to systematically destroy the cultural fabric of the Tribes.
- 6. By 1902, less than 50 bison remained in the wild.
- 7. The genocide forced Native Americans to submit to a foreign way of life and live on reservations.
- 8. Bison and Native Americans have quietly persisted for more than a century.
- 9. Today, Yellowstone bison number roughly five thousand.
- In 2000, Defendants created the Interagency Bison Management Plan ("IBMP").
- 11. The stated goals of the IBMP are to maintain a viable, free-ranging bison herd that also prevents brucellosis transmission from bison to cattle.
- 12. Brucellosis is a disease that causes cattle to abort fetuses.
- 13. Cattle originally carried brucellosis from Europe to the United States where it

- was transmitted to wildlife.
- 14. There has never been a documented case of bison transmitting brucellosis to cattle.
- 15. The IBMP has established different tolerance zones that limit when and where bison are allowed to roam outside of Yellowstone National Park.
- The IBMP established "Zone 2" in the Gardiner area north of Yellowstone National Park.
- 17. Bison are never permitted outside of "Zone 2."
- 18. The best available science indicates that elk are carrying brucellosis in areas far outside "Zone 2" where bison are not permitted.
- 19. Female bison that are allowed to roam in to "Zone 2" must return to within park boundaries by May of each year.
- 20. The IBMP allows Defendants to haze and harass bison that leave Yellowstone National Park with horses, 4 wheelers, and helicopters.
- 21. Defendants have stated they will not compromise the safety of the public because of hazing operations.
- 22. Cottonwood members use the Zone 2 area north of Gardiner.
- 23. Defendants have expressed safety concerns for the public because they are unable to sweep areas before the hazing operations.
- 24. Defendants have expressed concern for human safety due to bison hunters shooting in such a small area north of Yellowstone.
- 25. Defendants have stated that bison need to be allowed to roam in areas farther north of the Yellowstone National Park Boundary.

- 26. Secretary Zinke and Governor Bullock are allowing bison hunters to shoot bison as soon as they come across the Yellowstone Park Boundary instead of listening to the other Defendants that say bison need to be allowed to move farther north.
- 27. Secretary Zinke and Governor Bullock have put the lives of hunters and the public at risk by not taking active measures to ensure more bison are distributed north of Yellowstone National Park so that hunting is not concentrated in a small area.
- 28. Secretary Zinke and Governor Bullock have the ability to ensure more bison are distributed north of Yellowstone National Park to ensure a safe hunt.
- 29. Montana Fish Wildlife, and Parks ("MT FWP") and the National Park Service have previously expressed concern for the safety of bison hunters north of Yellowstone National Park. MT FWP has stated that the risk of injury or death because of the confined bison hunting is "real."
- 30. Native Americans want to see bison restored in areas north of Zone 2 to decrease hunting pressure and protect human life, increase the number of hunting opportunities for Native and non-Native Americans, and strengthen their spiritual connection to bison.
- 31. Native Americans have expressed interest in asserting their treaty rights to hunt Yellowstone bison farther north of Zone 2.
- 32. New tribal hunting constitutes significant new information that requires supplemental National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") analysis because more bison hunters will be concentrated in the small area north of

Yellowstone National Park, thereby exacerbating the already real risk of death.

33. On December 26, 2017, the IBMP members issued a signed Memorandum that states:

Hazing is used to manage the distribution of bison by preventing dispersal beyond Zone 2 boundaries.

Hazing of bison will be minimized in areas where bison are tolerated outside Yellowstone National Park during the hunting seasons established by the treaty tribes and MFW.

The safety of the public and personnel will be the primary consideration in any hazing operation; at no time will the safety of the public or personnel be compromised.

Hazing actions in the northern management area are extremely dynamic and it is impossible to sweep the area to remove members of the public before operations commence.

December 26, 2017 IBMP Operating Procedures at 11, 14 (available at <a href="http://www.ibmp.info/Library/OpsPlans/2018\_IBMP\_Winter\_Operations\_Plan\_FIN\_AL.pdf">http://www.ibmp.info/Library/OpsPlans/2018\_IBMP\_Winter\_Operations\_Plan\_FIN\_AL.pdf</a> (emphasis added)

- 34. Other groups have previously challenged the failure to supplement the IBMP when new significant new information came to light. *Western Watersheds*\*Project v. Salazar, No. 9:00-cv-00159-CCL, 2012 WL 3756312 at \*1 (9th Cir. August 30, 2012).
- 35. In that case, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held that new information regarding brucellosis was not significant because the Final EIS for the IBMP anticipated that there would be changes to the bison habitat and considered how to prepare for such changes. *Id*.
- 36. A study completed by the National Academy of Sciences in 2017 indicates that the brucellosis that is being spread to cattle in the Greater Yellowstone

- Area is traceable to elk, not bison.
- 37. The Final Environmental Impact Statement for the IBMP did not consider new management changes in light of the significant new 2017 study.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 38. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. §2201 (Declaratory Judgment Act), 28 U.S.C. §361(mandamus), and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Ex Parte Young).
- 39. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Montana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred within this judicial district and because Plaintiff Cottonwood Environmental Law Center is a Bozeman-based organization. Venue is also proper under Rule 3.2 of the Local Rules of Procedure of the United States District Court for the District of Montana.
- 40. The federal government has waived sovereign immunity in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 702.
- 41. This Court has jurisdiction over the State Defendants because the claims arise from violations of federal law and because the State defendants have entered into a joint partnership for the management of bison pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 1501.5. The joint partnership was entered into to "reduce the duplication of regulatory requirements." IBMP FEIS Volume I, Appendix C (page 706). According to the December 26, 2017 Winter Operating Procedures signed by the state Defendants, that IBMP members "expect to cooperatively support

bison management activities such as hazing."

## **PARTIES**

- 42. Plaintiff Cottonwood Environmental Law Center is a conservation organization based in Bozeman, Montana. Cottonwood members have worked on Yellowstone bison restoration for years and have aesthetic, recreational, spiritual and conservation interests in Yellowstone bison.
- 43. Defendant Secretary of Interior Ryan Zinke is the federal official responsible for the proper administration of the National Park Service. The Secretary is a signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.
- 44. Defendant Steve Bullock is the Governor of Montana. The Governor of the State of Montana is a signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.
- 45. Defendant Dan Wenk is the Superintendent of Yellowstone National Park.

  Yellowstone National Park is home to the roughly 5,000 bison which are the subject of the Interagency Bison Management Plan. Yellowstone National Park is a unit of the National Park Service. The National Park Service is a signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.
- 46. Defendant Leanne Marten is the Regional Forester for Region 1 of the United States Forest Service. Region 1 is the Forest Service region that contains the area in question. The Forest Service is a signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.
- 47. Defendant National Park Service is a component of the United States

  Department of Interior. The National Park Service is a signatory of the

  Interagency Bison Management Plan.

- 48. Defendant United States Forest Service is a component of the United States

  Department of Agriculture and is responsible for administering the nation's

  154 National Forests and 20 National Grasslands. The Forest Service is a

  signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.
- 49. Defendant Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service ("APHIS") is a component of the United States Department of Agriculture and is one of the agencies responsible for containing and reducing the Yellowstone bison population. APHIS is a signatory of the Interagency Bison Management Plan.

## STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 50. NEPA is our "basic national charter for protection of the environment." 40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(a).
- 51. "Accurate scientific analysis, expert agency comments, and public scrutiny are essential to implementing NEPA." 40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(b).
- 52. An agency must supplement NEPA analyses where "[t]here are significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impacts." 40 C.F.R. § 1502.9(c)(1)(ii).

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 53. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all prior allegations.
- 54. Defendants have previously expressed concern for human safety due to the concentrated number of bison hunters outside of Yellowstone National Park.
- 55. New Native American tribes hunted Yellowstone bison during the winter of 2017.

- 56. New Native American tribes have publically indicated their intentions of hunting Yellowstone buffalo.
- 57. New Yellowstone bison hunters constitute significant new information or circumstances that requires supplemental NEPA analysis.
- 58. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to determine whether to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP based on the new tribal hunting and changed circumstances.
- 59. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP based on the new tribal hunting.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 60. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all prior allegations.
- 61. The 2017 Operating Procedures state at no time will the safety of the public or personnel be compromised because of hazing operations.
- 62. Cottonwood members extensively use the northern management area for scientific, recreational, and spiritual purposes.
- 63. Hazing operations present safety concerns for Cottonwood members and the public.
- 64. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to determine whether to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP based on the safety concerns for Cottonwood members and the public.
- 65. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP based on the new safety concerns.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 66. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all prior allegations.
- 67. A study completed by the National Academy of Sciences in 2017 indicates that the brucellosis that is being spread to cattle in the Greater Yellowstone Area is traceable to elk, not bison.
- 69. The FEIS for the IBMP did not consider how to prepare for management changes in light of the significant new 2017 study.
- 70. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to determine whether to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP because the IBMP did not consider how to prepare for changes in light of the significant new 2017 study.
- 71. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP because the IBMP did not consider how to prepare for changes in light of the significant new 2017 study.

## **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 72. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all prior allegations.
- 73. The IBMP has a written goal of 3,000 bison. In May 2018, the National Park Service presented 4,200 bison as the population objective for the Park.
- 74. In response, Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks said that the State will need to see further analysis before it signs off on the new population goal in the IBMP.
- 75. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to determine whether to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP in light of

- the significant new information or circumstances that indicate the Park Service believes 4,200 can be a new population goal.
- 76. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, NEPA by failing to to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP in light of the significant new information or circumstances that indicate the Park Service believes 4,200 can be a new population goal.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:

- A. Adjudge and declare that Defendants have violated the National Environmental Policy Act by failing to determine whether the NEPA analysis for the IBMP should be supplemented in light of the significant new information or circumstances raised in the complaint.
- B. Adjudge and declare that Defendants have violated the National Environmental Policy Act by failing to supplement the NEPA analysis for the IBMP in light of the significant new information or circumstances raised in the complaint.
- C. Enjoin Defendants from enforcing the Zone 2 drop dead line until the NEPA deficiencies are cured.
- D. Enjoin Defendants from any future hazing, harassing or killing of any bison in or near Yellowstone Park until the NEPA deficiencies are cured.
- E. Enjoin Defendants from slaughtering any bison that are currently being quarantined until the NEPA deficiencies are cured.
- F. Issue any other temporary, preliminary, and/or permanent injunctive relief as may be specifically requested by Plaintiff.

G. Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorney fees, costs, and litigation expenses, under the Equal Access to Justice Act, and/or any other applicable provision of law.

H. Grant such further and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper in order to remedy the violations of law alleged herein and to protect the interests of the Plaintiff.

Dated: July 20, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/John Meyer JOHN MEYER

Attorney for Plaintiff